

CHAPTER 4 - CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter includes; a list of primary preparers of the document; a summary of the scoping and public involvement efforts; and a list of agencies, organizations, and persons solicited for input.

4.2 List of Primary Preparers

Name, Area of Expertise, Years of Experience	Resource Area	Professional Education
Jeff Huntman Environmental Coordinator (20)	IDT Leader, Roadless, Scenic, Noxious Weeds, Air Quality, ROS, Writer/Editor	BS Forest Management Purdue University
Caleb Zurstadt Fishery Biologist (15)	Fisheries, Watershed	MS of Science, Fisheries Science Oregon State University
Robert Ryan Wildlife Biologist (15)	Wildlife	MS Landscape Restoration Oregon State
Jim Egnew Geologist (30)	Minerals	BA Geology University of Montana
Clem Pope Recreation Resource Specialist(35)	Wilderness Recreation	MS Forest Recreation Management University of Idaho
James Fitzgerald Hydrologist (25)	Watershed, Soils,	BS Soils and Watershed Management Humboldt State
Cassandra Kollenberg GIS (15)	GIS Support	MS Forestry University of Montana
Alma Hanson Botanist (30)	TES Plants, Botany	Ph.D. Botany University of Idaho
Larry Kingsbury Archeologist (30)	Cultural	MA, Cultural Resource Management Idaho State University
Antony Botello District Ranger (25)	Project Direction	BS, Natural Resources Recreation Management California State University

4.3 Management and Review

Name	Title
Keith Lannon	Forest Supervisor
Sue Dixon	Forest Environmental Coordinator
Ana Egnew	Forest Wildlife Biologist
Jake Strohmeyer	Forest Staff Officer
Robert Giles	Forest Staff Officer
Jane Cropp	Forest Recreation Program Manager
Dave Kennell	Forest Hydrologist
Pattie Soucek	Forest Planner
Laura Pramuk	Public Affairs Officer
Anthony Botello	District Ranger
Mike Dixon	Civil Engineer
Terry Svalberg	Air Quality Specialist

Golden Hand No. 1 and No. 2 Lode Mining Claims Draft EIS

Name	Title
Fred Noack	Regional Environmental Coordinator
Dan Duffield	Regional Fisheries Program Manager
Lisa Machnik	Natural Resource Specialist (Wilderness)
Jim Demaagd	Mineral Examiner, CNO

4.4 Summary of Scoping, Public Involvement, Consultation, and Comments

Formal planning for this project was initiated on November 21, 2008 with a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) appearing in the Federal Register.

On January 27, 2011, the conceptual idea of this project was discussed by representatives of the U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and NOAA Fisheries at a scheduled Level 1 Meeting. Followup meetings have been held at scheduled Level 1 Meetings on March 8, 2011, November 30, 2011, and February 22, 2012. In addition, scoping letters addressed specifically to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA Fisheries representatives were mailed on March 16, 2010 soliciting comments on the Proposed Action.

A proposal of the project was presented to tribe representatives at the April 8, 2010, June 10, 2010, and April 12, 2012 Wings and Roots Meeting. Individual scoping packages were also forwarded to representatives of the Shoshone-Bannock and Nez Perce Tribes, as well as the Valley County and Idaho County Commissioners in March of 2010. The project was presented to the Shoshone – Bannock Tribe in Fort Hall on June 22, 2011. The project was also presented at the Nez Perce Tribe and Payette National Forest Staff to Staff meeting on May 11, 2010 and June 7, 2012.

A draft proposal of the project was presented to tribal representatives at the April 8, 2010 and June 10, 2010 Wings and Roots Meeting. Individual scoping packages were also forwarded to representatives of the Shoshone-Bannock and Nez Perce Tribes, as well as the Valley County Commissioners in March of 2010. The project was also presented at the Nez Perce Tribe and Payette National Forest Staff to Staff meeting on May 11, 2010.

A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS in the *Federal Register* (November 21, 2008), a scoping package describing the Proposed Action was mailed to more than 300 individuals, agencies, and/or groups on March 16, 2010. In response to these scoping efforts oral and written comments were received from in excess of 20,500 interested parties.

Commenters voiced a variety of concerns including, but not limited to, potential adverse impacts on wildlife and wildlife habitat, proper bonding levels, season of operation, minimum tools needed to accomplish the project, public access, affects to historic properties, approval of off-claim drill pads, and contaminants. The planning record contains all written comments received relative to this project and discloses how the Interdisciplinary Team addressed those concerns.

4.5 List of Those Receiving Copies or Notification of the Draft EIS

Agencies Receiving Notification of Availability	
Director, Planning and Review, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Northwest Power Planning Council
Deputy Director APHIS PPD/EAD	Federal Aviation Administration
National Environmental Coordinator, NRCS	Director, NEPA Policy & Compliance, DOE
Habitat Conservation Division, NOAA Fisheries	Federal Highway Administration
NW Region	Intermountain Regional Office
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Northwestern Division	Boise National Forest, Supervisor's Office
Chief of Naval Operations (N45), Energy and Environmental Readiness Division	Payette National Forest, McCall Ranger District
	Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District
	EPA, Boise Office
	USFWS, Boise, Idaho

Consultation and Coordination

Other	Agencies Receiving Copies and/or CDs
Roughly 21,275 individuals, agencies, Tribes, businesses, and organizations were notified of availability of the DEIS via letter or email.	Acquisitions & Serials Branch, National Agricultural Library EPA, Region 10 EIS Review Coordinator Director, Office of Envir. Policy and Compliance EPA, Office of Federal Activities